

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,964	10/29/2003	Jonghee Han	001425USU/2242	7993
	7590 01/30/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Charles N.J. Ru Ohlandt, Greele	iggiero, Esq. ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.	WYSZOMIERS	WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	L
		10/695,964	HAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		George P. Wyszomierski	1742	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ss
Period for	or Reply			
WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIPUTED IN THE MAILING DIPUTED DIPUTED IN THE MAILING DIPUTED IN THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commit ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/	15/106.		
		s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the me	erits is
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·	•	J. 1.0
Disnosit	ion of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
· _		ion		
	Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wit from Consideration.	•	
	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
	ion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		. = :	
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	1.101/4)
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			* *
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	carminer. Note the attached Of	nice Action of form 1 10-	102.
_	•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		inntinu Nin	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	application from the International Burea	•	erved in this National Sta	ge
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.	
		c. m.s ss. m.ss. dopies not rec		
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	ail Date nal Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/695,964 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 57-181354.

The JP '354 Abstract discloses mixing Ni-Al alloy powder with Ni powder, followed by sintering. The '354 reference does not specify the ratio of Ni-Al to Ni powder as presently claimed, and does not refer to an anode for fuel cells. These differences are not seen as resulting in a patentable distinction between the prior art and the claimed invention because mixing of the relative amounts of the two powders as presently claimed would fall within the purview of the process as described in JP '354. With regard to fuel cells, this limitation as claimed is seen as nothing more than an intended use of articles made by the claimed process and as such does not distinguish that process from the process disclosed in the prior art. Thus, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established between the disclosure of JP 57-181354 and the presently claimed invention.

- 3. In a response filed November 15, 2006, Applicant alleges that the mixing ratio as claimed produces unexpected results in comparison to the prior art, and/or that the claimed intended use distinguishes the invention from the applied prior art. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive because:
 - a) No specific difference has been shown in any particular aspect of the present invention (e.g. 3D network, resistance to creep) versus an equivalent feature of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,964

Art Unit: 1742

b) With regard to intended use, the term "anode for fuel cells" does not imply any particular size, shape or form of a material and further does not imply any particular limitation on

any step of a process of making such a material.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300. This Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GPW January 25, 2007 GBORD LIGHT

Page 3